

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/712,550	11/13/2003	Binh T. Nguyen	29757/P-758	9163
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			EXAMINER	
			LEIVA, FRANK M	
SEARS TOWE CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	ıγ	}	
	Αpplication No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,550	NGUYEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank M. Leiva	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mile, cause the application to become	ICATION. The reply be timely filed properties of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A			
<u>,=</u>	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the	•	·	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C	D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,23-28 and 42-46 is/are pending if 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrate 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,23-28 and 42-46 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 23-28 and 42-46 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in brity documents have been in the law (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/02/2004;4/25/2005;6/16/2006.	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/712,550 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, [claims 1-5, 23-28, and 42-46] in the reply filed on 24 April 2007 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 23-28 and 42-46 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims are dependent on non-elected claims withdrawn from consideration, for the purpose of examination the examiner will refer to them as dependent on claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 23-28, and 42-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jordan et al (US 2004/0053681 B1) herein after Jordan.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,550 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

5. **Regarding claims 1, 23-26, and 42-46**; Jordan discloses:

a. A server computer on a server network comprising a single-write data storage (disk), with an operational event controller, with all the parts of a computer, such as processor, memory, magnetic disk, CD, disk drive, where in a network of computers communicate operational event data upon requests, (Fig 4 and claim 1).

- b. A plurality of gaming apparatuses operatively coupled to said network, (Fig 4).
- c. The gaming devices comprising a display unit, ticket printer, value input device, a controller, said controller capable of running the game display and peripherals, (¶0111).
- d. Said controller able to communicate event data to said operational controller, data comprising one or more of: accounting data, cashless data, security data, player-tracking data, and maintenance data, (Fig 4 and ¶0097).
- e. Said controller programmed to cause said ticket printer to issue a ticket voucher, (¶0060).
- **Regarding claim 2;** Jordan discloses wherein said controller is programmed to communicate said operational event data to a particular server computer, (¶0047).
- Regarding claim 3; Jordan discloses a gaming system comprising a plurality of said network computers operatively coupled to said server computer and each disposed in a different geographic location, wherein: said operational event controller is programmed to communicate said operational event data to an operational event controller of at least one of said plurality, of network computers, and said operational event controller is programmed receive operational event data from an operational event controller of at least one of said plurality of network computers, (¶0023).
- 8. **Regarding claim 5**; Jordan discloses wherein said gaming system comprises a casino gaming system, (¶0085).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,550 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan.
- 11. **Regarding claim 4;** <u>Jordan discloses</u> all the limitations recited in claim 1 from which claim 4 depend on, although <u>Jordan is silent</u> about the system being applied to government sponsored gaming devices, it is well known in the art to apply all the teachings of gaming devices whether they are private or State funded gaming establishment. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the teachings of Jordan in any Government sponsored establishment to gain the same advantages as does any regular casino in the country.

Citation of Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schineier et al (US 6,099,408), networked games. Alcorn et al (US 5,643,086), networked games. Hafezi (US 2002/0025850 A1), ticket voucher printing. Acres et al (US 5,655,961), networked player tracking system. Crumby (US 6,875,110 B1), multi-system gaming terminal.

Art Unit: 3714

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Leiva whose telephone number is (571) 272-2460. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30am - 5:pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FML

07/10/2007

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3714

Robert E Pezze